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Gilbane at a Glance

- Founded 1873… 138 Years of continuous operation
- Family Owned… 5th Generation
- 2000+ multi disciplined employees
- Ranked one of the top US CM firms
- 73% of work for repeat clients
- Annual Revenues > $4.1 Billion
- Almost 100 Years Working with Federal Clients
IDBB Delivery Method Overview

- Developed to Speed Project Delivery to meet BRAC
  - Battlefield Health & Trauma Center Operational in March, 2010
  - Ft. Belvoir Hospital Operational in September 2011

- Reference FAR 52-216.17 Fixed Price Incentive (Successive Targets) Framework
  - Award Enabling Project and Preconstruction as Lump Sum
  - Target Cost in Original Proposal
  - Target Profit in Original Proposal
  - Target Cost + Target Profit = Target Price
  - Ceiling Price on Total Cost with Fee Incentive / Decrement

- Developed for BRAC under Leadership of General Semonite

- Precursor to Early Contractor Involvement (ECI) Delivery Method
Successful Targets: Practical Application

Concept

ITP/CP → STP → FFP @100% Docs

Practical Application

ITP/CP → STP 1 → STP 2 → FFP @100% Docs

- Utilized Budget Control Report & bi-weekly update in lieu of milestone estimates
- Updates used for scope add and deduct definition
- Defining Timing of FFP was difficult
Fort Belvoir Community Hospital

- 8 New Buildings
  - 4 Outpatient Clinics
  - New Hospital
  - 2 Parking Garages
  - Central Utilities Plant

- $960 Million Present Contract Value
- 1.2 Million GSF
- Beneficial Occupancy August 15, 2011
Team Structure

- USACE – Owner (HFPA / TCM / Base also involved)
- HDR / Dewberry JV - Designer
- Turner|Gilbane 50/50 JV – Builder
- IDBB Delivery Method (Brought on at 10% Design)
- Major Subcontractor Partners in Proposal
  - Sitework
  - Structural Steel
  - Roofing/Waterproofing
  - Fire Protection
  - Mechanical / Plumbing
  - Electrical
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Lessons Learned from IDBB

- **RFP & Proposal Response**
  - Successive Target Contract Language
  - Clarifications / Qualifications Impact on Design
  - Clarifications / Qualifications Impact on Schedule
  - Description of Lump Sum Contract Definitization Process
  - Active Partnering is a Key Element
Lessons Learned from IDBB

- **Alignment of A/E and Construction Contractor**
  - Professional Responsibility for Documents
  - Design Assist Scope and Responsibility
  - Requirements for Final Documentation
  - Location & Process for Preconstruction
  - Contract Alignment between Designer & Builder
Preconstruction Phase Services

- USACE Oversight & Involvement
- Cost & Scope Tracking
- Formal Budget Reconciliation Sessions
- Collaborative Value Management Process
- Design Assist from Subcontractors
- Better Scope Definition
- Need a “Rule Book”
Lessons Learned from IDBB

- **Construction Phase - It’s not a traditional Lump Sum Contract**
  - Cost-Type Contract Environment
  - FFP Conversion - Go to contract when risk / reward benefit is the greatest
  - Schedule Development
  - Requisition Process & Payments
  - Changes
  - Quality Control
  - Design Assist & BIM
  - Need a “Rule Book”
Design Phase
• Pro – Design Assist speeds overall design.
• Con – Possibility of Mis-Coordinated Design requiring rework.

Preconstruction Phase
• Pro – Real Time Feedback on Cost and Schedule as Design Progresses.
• Con – USACE not familiar with / comfortable with collaboration between designer and contractor. Difficulty managing the process.

Construction Phase
• Pro - Earlier Construction in the Field.
• Con - Less definition of total project scope than traditional Lump Sum. Expect rework in the field.

Overall Process
• Pro – Private Sector approach to Speed Project Delivery. Faster Delivery than standard Design / Bid / Build
• Con – Typical USACE Project Processes can slow Project Delivery.
Recommendations for the Future

- Create an IDBB (ECI) “Rulebook”
- Define the ultimate “Decision Maker”
- Maintain an active Partnering approach – Include all key stakeholders
- Provide better Scope Definition for Preconstruction Phase
- Ensure Alignment between A/E and Constructor Contract
- Embrace Collaboration
- Keep doing it, It only gets better with practice
Questions?